10. CALL TO ORDER – The March 9, 2015 Regular Meeting of the City of Lynnwood Transportation Benefit District (TBD) Board, held in the Council Chambers of Lynnwood City Hall, was called to order by Board President Simmonds at 6:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Board President Loren Simmonds
Board Vice President Sid Roberts
Board Member Van AuBuchon
Board Member Ian Cotton
Board Member Benjamin Goodwin (arr. after roll call)
Board Member M. Christopher Boyer
Board Member Ruth Ross

OTHERS ATTENDING

Public Works Director Franz
Deputy PW Director Elekes
Project Manager David Mach
Council Assistant Beth Morris
City Attorney Larson

Board President Simmonds read the Introductory Statement reviewing the formation and function of the TBD and the purpose of the meeting.

30. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – January 20, 2015 Meeting Minutes

Motion made by Board Member Boyer, seconded by Board Vice President Roberts, to approve the minutes of the January 20, 2015 Meeting.

Board Vice President Roberts noted that Board Vice President Roberts should be replaced with Board Member Roberts, and Board Member Cotton should be replaced with Board Vice President Cotton consistent with Council positions. Project Manager Mach explained that the since the TBD is separate from the City Council the TBD Board would have to hold separate elections for electing Vice President and President if that is the Board’s wish. Board Vice President Roberts withdrew his amendment.

Motion passed unanimously.

40. VOUCHER APPROVAL

Motion made by Board Member Cotton, seconded by Board Member Boyer, to approve claims in the amount of $13,556.83. Motion passed unanimously.

50. CITIZEN COMMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS

None
60. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION: TRANSPORTATION FUNDING STATUS, NEEDS, POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES, AND NEXT STEPS

Director Franz gave an update on the state’s transportation package. The package passed the senate on a 27-22 vote and will head to the house. It is a $15 billion package over a 16-year period which if approved as presented would include a 12-cent per gallon tax increase over three years. It has about $8 billion in capital projects statewide. Lynnwood’s 196th Street project would receive about $14 million. ($2 million in 2015-2017 and $13 million in 2017-2019). The City would be on target to spend the money in those time periods. The Poplar Bridge didn’t make the package. The legislation has some items that relate to Transportation Benefit Districts such as the ability to go from $20 councilmatic tab fees up to $40 as long as the TBD has enacted and had the $20 tab fees on the boards for 24 months. It would allow Sound Transit to go forward with their ballot measure to fund ST3 and local transit agencies like Community Transit to go out for a vote on up to 0.3% sales tax increase.

Public Works Director Franz recalled that at the last meeting he had made a presentation about the transportation program’s unmet needs and lack of funds towards those needs. At that time he focused on the operational side of the program, mainly the Street Fund 111 and the overlay program. He stated tonight he would finish up talking about the capital program. He then reviewed the spreadsheet on page 60-2 in the TBD packet entitled Capital Funding Needs and Revenues.

Board Member Boyer agreed with Director Franz that the capacity projects would not be a straight line development process and would likely end up costing significantly more due to inflation in the later years. Director Franz concurred.

Board Member AuBuchon asked if Fund 110: Transportation Impact Fees is capped at $400,000 a year. Director Franz stated it is not. $400,000 is staff’s best guess at revenues that would come in from projects. These fees come from development projects based on trips generated. Board Member AuBuchon asked if this number might increase due to the recent jump in permits. Project Manager Mach stated that it could, but it is not known for certain yet. He stated that this is a conservative number and could be higher.

Director Franz referred to a question raised by Board Vice President Roberts at the previous meeting about how the transportation program was funded back in the 70’s when the City was doing about seven times more overlays than it is currently funded to do right now. He discussed the data on page 60-3 regarding 1976 City of Lynnwood Budget Analysis – Transportation Spending and Funding. He summarized that now the City has to rely more on the General Fund, but in general the way the City funded the Street Fund in 1976 was similar to today. He stressed that for the subject year the expenditures on transportation projects were almost 50% of value of the General Fund. They also had a large beginning fund balance. The motor vehicle fuel tax was used for capital. Back then there was a significant federal grant. The most surprising portion was parking fees which accounted for 26% of the capital budget.
Project Manager Mach discussed the two tables on page 60-1 (*TBD Funding Options* and *Potential Election Dates*). He commented that the average household could possibly pay $10 per year less if option E is implemented, but yet still generate $3.5 million more than is currently generated for transportation revenue. This is primarily due to the fact that the majority of the purchases made in the City of Lynnwood are not made by Lynnwood residents. He then reviewed potential election dates if the TBD Board chose to run another proposition in the future. The cost to run the proposition would vary depending on whether it is a primary or a general election and how many things are on the ballot. The bill for last November’s general election was $8,000 which was less than anticipated because there were quite a few other items on the ballot at that time.

Board President Simmonds said it is his understanding that Community Transit is vigorously pursuing a 0.3% addition to sales tax to increase their service. Also, Sound Transit is proposing to build light rail into Snohomish County. The package they are presenting includes additional sales tax, an increase in their share of property tax, and car tab increases. He summarized that his general impression is that this is somewhat of a time bomb. If the City doesn’t do it, somebody else will. He also pointed out that Edmonds tried to pass a tab increase from $20 to $40, but was not successful. He spoke to the importance of educating Lynnwood’s citizens that it is to their advantage to adopt a plan that does not put all of the cost of infrastructure on the residents of the City of Lynnwood because many, many people come here every day. He stated he is not supportive of going out for a vote for $40 car tabs, but is interested in looking at how the costs can be spread more to people who are not residents.

Board Member Cotton wondered if there is some way to capture volumetric users of the road such as large trucks. Director Franz discussed the background on this type of approach. There were legal challenges with implementing it in the past. Recently there are agencies in the Puget Sound that are going more to a trip-based fee. That kind of approach can be pursued. It would be appropriate to have that discussion as part of the City Council as opposed to the TBD which just has tab fees and sales tax to deal with.

Board Vice President Roberts stated that Community Transit is in the legislature with a local option and seems to be making progress. If it is passed by the senate and the house they will have the authority on their own to put up to 0.03% sales tax on the ballot. Community Transit is trying to pay for a second BRT from Canyon Park to Boeing. He believes it is probable that this will be on the November ballot. He agreed with Board President Simmonds that raising the tabs hurt every Lynnwood resident, so he was not supportive about raising those fees. He believes that the 0.02% sales tax last time was just too much. He is intrigued by the option that would be a tradeoff – an increase in sales tax minus a tab fee. He noted that sales tax increases are another way to get to the issue of truck traffic. He urged the Board to be mindful that the City is dependent on sales tax. He is concerned that if Sound Transit and Community Transit get sales tax items on the ballot it won’t be good. As far as the timing of a ballot, he was in favor of spending the least amount possible, therefore, a general election rather than a special election.
Board Member Boyer commented on the importance of being clear on the goal which he thinks should be to get as close to $8 million as possible. This would allow the City to maintain the roads and signals it already has without adding any new projects. New capital projects are desirable, but at a minimum we need to get to at least $8 million. Following the voters’ rejection of the last ballot measure, the Board needs to refocus and possibly lower its sights a bit. He commented that funding option E would at least get the City to $7 million over the biennium. He commented that the plan to increase sales tax while removing the vehicle tab is one that speaks directly to Lynnwood voters which is a good argument. Ultimately all of this is distasteful to him because both the vehicle tab and sales tax are regressive tax measures. They have the biggest impact on the people with the least to spend. He urged the City to find ways to fund the City’s needs without continually putting more of the burden on the backs of the people who have the least. He acknowledged that this is a state issue, but implored the staff to scour the legislation to find some way to start assessing costs to people who can afford it better than the folks just trying to get by.

Board Member AuBuchon recalled when he lived in Missouri there were city vehicle tax decals that people had to buy which were based on the number of axles on the vehicle. He thinks there should be a way to do something similar. If there is something in state law that is blocking it, he recommended working to change it. He also commented that in 1976 the Street Fund was 13% of the General Fund. Today it is only 4%. If the Street Fund was 13% today this discussion would not be necessary. Rather than just raising taxes, maybe the City needs to be looking more closely at the budget.

Board Vice President Roberts commented that the cost of labor and other things are very different from 1976. However, he referred to Budgeting for Outcomes and asked if the General Fund should be reviewed for more contribution to the roads. He acknowledged that this would be challenging, but is worth looking at.

Board Member Boyer said he was hearing a consensus that it made more sense to get this on the November 3 ballot. He noted that the November 3 ballot measure is due by August 4 and asked staff about deadlines in order to prepare a ballot measure by that date. Director Franz said ultimately staff would need direction from the Board to prepare something that the Board could act on. City Attorney Larson commented that just directing the preparation of a draft resolution doesn’t mean the Board has made a decision to pass the resolution.

Motion made by Board Member Boyer, seconded by Board Member Cotton, to hold a Special Meeting of the Transportation Benefit District Board on May 11 at 6 p.m. to further discuss potential funding options and to give staff direction to bring back a resolution at a later date. Motion passed unanimously.
70. ADJOURNMENT

Motion made by Board Member Boyer, seconded by Board Member Cotton, to adjourn the meeting. Motion passed unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:57 p.m.

Loren Simmonds, TBD Board President

Art Ceniza
Interim Finance Director