A. CALL TO ORDER AT 7:00 PM

HISTORICAL COMMISSION
Chair Sather
Commissioner Little
Commissioner Tupua

Senior Planner Rivera

Absent: Commissioner Reed
City Council Member Ross

B. APPROVAL OR CORRECTION OF THE MINUTES OF THE JULY 21, 2005 MEETING

Commissioner Little made a motion to approve the minutes of the July 21, 2005 meeting. Commissioner Tupua seconded the motion. Minutes were approved.

C. CITIZEN COMMENTS

No citizens were present.

D. WORK SESSION

1. 2005-2006 Work Program

Discussion was had whether the goals were realistic. Commissioner Little commented that the budget items for the Historic Resource Survey and plaques were being presented to the City Council with Commissioner Ross advocating for the requests. The approved budget amendments will not be known until November. The Commission member expressed consensus that the work plan was final until revised at a later date.

2. Establishment of Preservation/Recognition definitions and criteria

Discussion was had regarding the difference between preservation and recognition. Recognition was something that was significant to the community and needed to be called to public awareness while preservation projects were something that needed to be saved. In some situations, items on the inventory no longer exist and should be recognized instead. In other instances, recognition might be had of existing structures that were so modified that they are no longer considered a preservation project. Prior to the next meeting, Gloria will flesh out some definitions of “preservation” and “recognition” and bring them to the next meeting.
3. Prioritization of Projects for the Survey

Discussion was had regarding which of the 46 projects should remain on this list for the survey. Some items no longer existed while others were so modified that perhaps they should not be on the list. Detailed discussions were had of several items on the list. An addition that may be considered is the cedar tree in the Catholic church parking lot. Gloria was to prepare a list of the most recently proposed items on a spreadsheet with the 18 criteria, that the Commission could review prior to the next meeting. It was hoped that a tally of the projects will lead to further discussion of what projects should be preserved and recognized and what should be removed.

E. INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Annexation Map
Commission members were presented with an annexation map of the City that lists annexations, the timeframe when approved and the relationships of the 21 historic sites and structures listed in the Historical Recognition Committee final report.

F. AGENDA ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING

- Minutes
- Establishment of Preservation/Recognition definitions and criteria
- Prioritization of Projects for the survey

G. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at approximately 8:47 P.M.